

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 07/08/2003 William J. Bajadali SP25-021 9913 10/616,133 EXAMINER 21567 7590 12/01/2004 ROSENBAUM, MARK WELLS ST. JOHN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SPOKANE, WA 99201 3725

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 1 1
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,133	BAJADALI ET AL.	h
	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Mark Rosenbaum	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	lress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b Iy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS is e, cause the application to become ABANDO	be timely filed days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this coroned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·	•	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 5-9 is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			• •
	kammer. Note the attached On	ice Action of John PTC	J- 132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National S	itage
200 mile discovered office design for a list	o. and defiance dopies not rece		
Attachment(s)			
) U Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/27/04</u> .		al Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/616,133

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,5-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1,5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims now include the possibility of having more than one connector positioning two adjacent wear inserts. It is not seen from the drawings nor the specification where more than one connector per adjacent inserts is disclosed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1,5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodriguez et al in view of Smith et al '713. Rodriguez et al discloses the basic concept except for the use of positioning means for the wear inserts. This may result in unwanted wear insert movement. Smith et al solves this problem by disclosing similar apparatus including the use of connecting members between adjacent inserts. In order to properly position the inserts, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 10/616,133

Art Unit: 3725

the art to modify Rodriguez et al by providing connecting members for adjacent inserts, taught to be desirable by Smith et al. The exact type of connector used and the particular size of the inserts would have been obvious design choices only once the basic apparatus was known based on several factors such as desired wear insert characteristics and particular material being treated.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 3725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Ostrager can be reached on 571-272-4521. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR